Case 2:02-cv-03169-ER CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 1 of 17

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the Untied States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I (a)	PLAINTIFFS FRANK AND SOP	PHIE SAKEWICZ, h/w	ı	DEFENDANT HONEYWELL IN	'S ITERNATIONAL, F/K/A AL	LIED SIG	SNAL,
1140.,				Succe	ssor in interest to Bendix (Corporation	on
(b)	COUNTY OF RESIDEN	ICE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF		COUNTY OF RESIDE	NCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENI	DANT MORE	RIS COUNTY,
		(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASI	ES) NJ DELAWARE COUNTY	NOTE:	(IN U.S. PLAIN IN LAND CONDEMNATION LOCATION OF THE TRACT	CASES, US	SE THE '
(c)	ATTORNEYS (FIRM N	AME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE N	UMBER)	ATTORNEYS (IF KNO	DWN)		
(-)	Anapol, Schwartz, Weiss	s, Cohan, Feldman & Smalley	S5211,	Rawle & Henderson LL	,		
	1900 Delancey Place Philadelphia, PA 19103 215-790-4572			The Widener Building One South Penn Squal Philadelphia, PA 1910 (215) 57:	7		
II.	BASIS OF JU	RISDICTION (PLACE AN AXE	IN ONE BOX ONLY)		SHIP OF PRINCIPAL ersity Cases Only) FOR PLAINTIFF		
9 1 U.	S. Government	X 3 Federal Question		PTF	DEF		PTFDEF
PI	aintiff	(U.S. Government Not	a Party)	Citizen of This State 9 1	9 1 Incorporated or Princip of Business in this Stat		9 494
9 2 U.	S. Government	9 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State 9 2	9 2 Incorporated and Princ		9 5 9 5
De	efendant	(Indicate Citizenship o	f Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a 9 3	of Business in Another State 9 3 Foreign Nation		9 696
				Foreign Country	Ü		
IV.	ORIGIN	(PLAC	CE AN AX@ IN ONE BO			Appeal to	
	9 1 Original Proceeding		nanded from 9 4 Reins Reop	stated or 9 5 another district (specify)	9 6 Multidistrict 9 7	Judge from Magistrate Judgment	Э
V.	NATURE OF SU	JIT (PLACE AN AX@IN ONE E	BOX ONLY)				
	CONTRACT	TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER S	TATUTES
9 110 9 120	Insurance Marine		PERSONAL INJURY 9 362 Personal Injury	9 610 Agriculture 9 620 Other Food&Drug	9 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	9 400	State
7 120	warine	7 310 Alipiane	7 362 Personal Injury	7 620 Other Food&Drug	28 USC 158		Reapportionm
9 130 9 140	Miller Act Negotiable Instrument	9 315 Airplane Product Liability	Med Malpractice 9 365 Personal Injury	9 625 Drug Related Seizure of Prop-	9 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	9 410 9 430	ent 'Antitrust Banks and Banking
9 150	Recovery of Overpayment	9 320 Assault, Libel &	Product Liability	erty 21, USC 881	PROPERTY RIGHTS	9 450	bariking
			•				Commerce/IC C Rates/etc.
	& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 9 330 Federal Employers=	9 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	9 630 Liquor Laws 9 640 R.R. & Truck	9 820 Copyrights	9 470	Deportation Racketeer
9 151	Medicare Act	Liability	Liability	9 650 Airline Regs	9 830 Patent		Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
9 152	Recovery of Defaulted	9 340 Marine		9 660 Occupational	9 840 Trademark	9 810	Selective Service
	Student Loans	9 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPERTY	Safety/Health		9 850	Octivice
•	(Excl. Veterans)	Liability	9 370 Other Fraud	9 690 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY		Securities/Co mmodities/ Exchange
9 153	Recovery of Overpayment		9 371 Truth in Lending	LABOR			Customer Challenge
9 160	of Veteran=s Benefits Stockholders= Suits	9 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	9 380 Other Personal Property Damage	9 710 Fair Labor	9 861 HIA (1395ff) 9 862 Black Lung (923)	9 891	12 USC 3410 Agricultural
9 190	Other Contract	9 360 Other Personal	9 385 Property Damage	Standards Act	9 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	9 892	Acts Economic Stabilization Act
9 195	Contract Product Liability	Injury	Product Liability	9 720 Labor/Mgmt	9 864 SSID Title XVI	9 893	Environmental Matters
				Relations	9 865 RIS (405(g))	9 894	Energy Allocation Act
	REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	9 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting &	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	9 895	Freedom of Information Act
9 210	Land Condemnation	ŭ	9 510 Motions to Vacate	Disclosure Act	9 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff		Appeal of Fee Determina-
9 220	Foreclosure	9 442 Employment	Sentence	9 740 Railway Labor	or Defendant)		tion Under Equal Access
9 230 9 240	Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	9 443 Housing/ Accommodations	9 530 Habeas Corpus 9 540 Mandamus & Other	9 790 Act Other Labor	9 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609		to Justice
9 245 9 290	Tort Product Liability All Other Real Property	9 444 Welfare 9 440 Other Civil Rights	9 550 Civil Rights	9 791 Litigation Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		X 890	Constitutionali ty of State Statutes Other Statutory Actions

Case 2:02-cy-03-169-FRICTION DAGHER MILES DIVERSIFY | ed 05/23/2002 Page 2 of 17

28 USC '1452(A) '1334(B) and Federal Bankruptcy Rule 9027 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN **DEMAND \$** Check YES only if demanded in complaint: **COMPLAINT: 9** UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: 9 YES 9 NO VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See Instructions): JUDGE N/A DOCKET NUMBER N/A SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DATE FOR OFFICE USE ONLY _____ APPLYING IFP_____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE RECEIPT #__ _ AMOUNT___

Case 2:02-cv-03169-FR Document 1 Filed 05/23/2002 Page 3 of 17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA C DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Addres New J	s of Plaintiff: Frank and Sophie Sakewicz, Box 44, Crum Lys of Defendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Signal, Gersey 07962 of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Asbestos Exposure in (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)	Inc., Colur	nbia Road and P			
Does t	his case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?		Yes X	No G		
RELAT	TED CASE IF ANY					
Case No Civil ca	umber: N/A Judge N/A ases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following	Date Termin questions:	nated: <u>N/A</u>			
	Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
	Does this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
	3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this Yes G No G					
	(Place: in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Federal Question Cases: G Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts G FELA G Jones ActCPersonal Injury G Jones ActCPersonal Injury G Antitrust G Patent G Labor-Management Relations G Civil Rights G Habeas Corpus G Securities Act(s) Cases G Social Security Review Cases X All other Federal Question Cases (please specify) 28 USC ' 1452, 1334(b); Bankruptcy Rule 9027(a)(3)			act and Other Contracts al Injury tion Injury ersonal Injury njury (Please specify) y yCAsbestos ity Cases (Please specify)		
	ARBITRATION CERTI		N			
	(Check appropriate Cat	egory)				
I, Pete	r J. Neeson, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify:					
Y	recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 e					
DATE:	Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Attorney-at-Law	27601	Attorne	y I.D. #		
	NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there					
I certif action	y that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case in this court except as noted above.	e now pend	ing or within one	year previously terminated		
DATE:		2760	<u>1</u>			
CIV. 60	Attorney-at-Law		Attorne	ey I.D. #		

Case 2:02-cv-03169-FR Document 1 Filed 05/23/2002 Page 4 of 17

 $FOR\ THE\ EASTERN\ DISTRICT\ OF\ PENNSYLVANIA\ C\ DESIGNATION\ FORM\ to\ be\ used\ by\ counsel\ to\ indicate\ the\ category\ of\ the\ case$ for the purpose of assignment\ to\ appropriate\ calendar.

	aintiff: Frank and Sophie Sakewicz, Box 44, Crum Lynefendant: Honeywell International, f/k/a Allied Signal, Ir			ark Avenue. Morristown.		
New Jersey	07962					
Place of Acc	cident, Incident or Transaction: Asbestos Exposure in F (Use Reverse Side For A	<mark>ennsylva</mark> Additional	inia and elsewher Space)	<u>e</u>		
Does this cas	se involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?			Yes X No G		
RELATED C						
Case Number: Civil cases are	te N/A Judge N/A De deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following que	ate Termir uestions:	nated: <u>N/A</u>			
	Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes \mathbf{G} No \mathbf{G}					
	5. Does this case involve the same issues of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?					
	his case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated			Yes G No G		
CIVIL: (Place	e: in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)					
 G Inde G FEL G Jon G Ant G Pate G Civi G Hat G Sec G Soc 	nes ActCPersonal Injury titrust	1. GGGGGGG 3. 4. GGGGGG 7. 8. 9.	Airplane Personal Assault, Defamati Marine Personal I Motor Vehicle Per Other Personal In Products Liability Products Liability All Other Diversi	ct and Other Contracts Injury ion njury rsonal Injury jury (Please specify) CAsbestos ty Cases (Please specify)		
	ARBITRATION CERTIF	ICATIO)N			
	(Check appropriate Cates	gory)				
I, Peter J. Nee	eson, Esquire, counsel of record do hereby certify:					
re	cursuant to Local Civil Rule 8, Section 4(a)(2), that, to the best of recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000 extelief other than monetary damages is sought.	•	•	damages		
DATE:	Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Attorney-at-Law	<u>27601</u>	Attorney	' I.D. #		
	NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there h					
	to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case is court except as noted above.	now pend	ing or within one y	/ear previously terminated		
DATE:		2760				
CIV. 609(9/99)	Attorney-at-Law		Attorney	7 I.D. #		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

	SAKEWICZ and SAKEWICZ, h/w	: :		
f/k/a ALI	WELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. LIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor to BENDIX CORPORATION,	: Civil Action No. 02-3169		
shall compand serve the event with its fir	plete a Case Manage Track Designation a copy on all defendants. (See '1:00 that a defendant does not agree with the tappearance, submit to the clerk of	nd Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff on Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complain 03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case the track to which that defendant believes the case should be		
SELECT	ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CAS	SE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:		
(a)	Habeas Corpus Cases brought u	ander 28 U.S.C. ' 2441 through ' 2255()		
(b)	Social Security Cases requesting and Human Services denying plainti	review of a decision of the Secretary of Health iff Social Security Benefits.()		
(c)	Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 8.()			
(d)	Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.()			
(e)	(e) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracts (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases)(X)			
(f)	Standard Management Cases that	at do not fall into any one of the other tracks.()		
(Date	e)	Attorney-at-law Attorneys for Defendant Honeywel International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation		

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

BY: PETER J. NEESON, ESQUIRE STEWART R. SINGER, ESQUIRE JOHN C. McMEEKIN II, ESQUIRE

INC.,

ATTORNEY I.D. NO. 27601/62006/81250

THE WIDENER BUILDING ONE SOUTH PENN SQUARE PHILADELPHIA, PA 19107

(215) 575-4200

R&H File No.: 516,192 (Anapol)

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT, HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC., F/K/A ALLIED SIGNAL,

SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO BENDIX CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT [EASTERN DISTRICT]

FRANK SAKEWICZ and SOPHIE SAKEWICZ, h/w

v. :

·

HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. : Civil Action No. 02-3169

f/k/a ALLIED SIGNAL, INC., Successor in interest to BENDIX CORPORATION

NOTICE OF REMOVAL PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C ' 1452 AND FEDERAL RULE OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE 9027

TO: ALL PARTIES ON ANNEXED SERVICE LIST

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal, Inc., (AHoneywell®), as successor in interest to the Bendix Corporation (ABendix®), by and through its undersigned counsel, Rawle & Henderson LLP, hereby gives notice of the removal to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania of the claims that have been asserted against Honeywell in the action captioned Frank and Sophie Sakewicz v. Pneumo Abex Corp., et al, now pending in the Common Pleas Court of the State of Pennsylvania, County of Delaware, with April Court Term and Number 02-60124. This Notice of Removal is filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ¹ 1452(a) and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and as grounds for such removal Honeywell respectfully states as follows:

1. On October 1, 2001 (the "Petition Date"), Federal-Mogul Global, Inc. (collectively "Federal-Mogul") filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code,

11 U.S.C. 11 101 et seq. (the ABankruptcy Code®) in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, commencing bankruptcy case number 01-10578.

- 2. The above referenced state action, which is Arelated to@ the removed claims, was commenced on April 8, 2002, by the filing of a Summons & Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County.
- 3. The removed claims are for personal injury or wrongful death asserted against Honeywell. Honeywell, formerly known as Allied Signal Inc., is the successor in interest to Allied Corporation which, in turn, was the successor in interest to The Bendix Corporation. The Automotive Sector of Allied Signal Inc. was the business unit within Allied Signal Inc. which continued the ABendix@ line of automotive friction products.
- 4. Federal-Mogul, or companies acquired by Federal Mogul Global, Inc., are named as codefendants of Honeywell in this action.
- 5. The plaintiff(s) in the above referenced action have asserted that joint and several liability arises as to each named co-defendant in this action.
- 6. As a result, in the above referenced action Honeywell has either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Federal-Mogul, or such a cross-claim against Federal-Mogul arises automatically by operation of law.
- 7. Conversely, Federal-Mogul has also either affirmatively asserted a cross-claim for indemnification and/or contribution against Honeywell, or such a cross-claim against Honeywell arises automatically by operation of law.
- 8. The above referenced claims for personal injury asserted against Honeywell, as well as the cross-claims asserted by Honeywell and the corresponding cross-claims asserted by Federal-Mogul, may

be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ¹ 1452(a). Removal of these claims is proper because the removed claims are: asserted in a civil action; not exempt from removal; and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the removed claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ¹ 1334 due to the fact that these cross-claims asserted by and against Honeywell are Arelated to@ Federal-Mogul=s bankruptcy proceeding. See In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d 482, 494 (6th Cir. 1996) (holding that Section 1334(b) Arelated to@ subject matter jurisdiction exists over actions for indemnification and contribution claims asserted by non-debtor codefendants against the debtor).

- 9. Removal to this Court is timely pursuant to Rule 9027 (a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure in that the claims in the civil action are Arelated to@the October 1, 2001, Federal-Mogul bankruptcy case, and this Notice has been filed within thirty days after: (1) receipt of the initial pleading setting forth the claim or cause of action sought to be removed, or (2) receipt of the summons, if the initial pleading has been filed with the court but not served with the summons.
- 10. Consent of the other named co-defendants is not necessary for removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1452. See Creasy v. Coleman Furniture Corp., 763 F.2d 656, 660 (4th Cir. 1985). Further, a cost bond is not required to accomplish this removal.
- 11. Upon removal, the proceedings with respect to the removed claims are non-core. Honeywell does not consent to entry of a final order or judgment by the bankruptcy judge to the extent the bankruptcy court is authorized to hear or determine such claims consistent with 28 U.S.C. ' 157(b)(5).
- 12. On December 17, 2001, Honeywell filed a Motion to Transfer, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ¹ 157 (b)(5) (the ATransfer Motion®), with the United States District Court for the District of Delaware asking that Court to issue a provisional order to transfer the above referenced removed claims for a consolidated resolution of the threshold scientific question, by means of a Daubert hearing, whether the plaintiffs in the

underlying actions can establish that automotive friction products containing encapsulated asbestos fibers can be the proximate cause of certain asbestos-related medical disorders. See 28 U.S.C. ' 157(b)(5) (1994); Daubert v. Merrill Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993); In re Dow Corning Corp., 86 F.3d at 496-97 (holding that 28 U.S.C. ' 157(b)(5) vests the power to fix venue over personal injury or wrongful death actions pending against non-debtor co-defendants which are Arelated to@ a debtor=s bankruptcy proceeding, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. ' 1334(b), with the district court where the bankruptcy case resides). See Exhibit AA.@

- 13. On December 19, 2001 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States District Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order in favor of Honeywell that: (1) partially withdrew the reference; and (2) provisionally transferred the Friction Product claims to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, (the AProvisional Order®), subject to further Orders of that Court. See Exhibit AB.®
- 14. On January 4, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the AClarification Order®), that clarified the Provisional Order by extending the provisional transfer of Friction Product claims to include claims that would have been subject to the Provisional Order, but had not yet been removed on the date of the Provisional Order. See Exhibit AC.®
- 15. On February 8, 2002 the Honorable Alfred M. Wolin of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware issued an Order (the ARemand Order@), granting plaintiffs=Motion for Remand. See Exhibit AD.@
- 16. On February 11, 2002 the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order temporarily granting an Emergency Motion for Stay (the AStay Order@), pending appeal of the Remand Order by appellants. See Exhibit AE.@

- 17. On March 19, 2002, the Honorable Anthony J. Scirica of the United States District Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit issued an Order (the AStay Clarification Order@), that clarified that the Stay Order issued on February 11, 2002 applies to all appellants and to all removed claims before the Delaware District Court. See Exhibit AF.@
- 18. On March 25, 2002, Chief Judge Becker of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals issued an order establishing a briefing schedule and set June 17, 2002, as the date to hear oral argument on the appeal (the AScheduling Order@). See Exhibit AG.@
- 19. A copy of the Scheduling Order also provides that A[t]he temporary stay entered by this Court on February 11, 2002, as clarified by the Order of March 19, 2002, will remain in effect until further order of the merits panel.@ See Exhibit AG.@
- 20. Honeywell respectfully submits that the effect of the stay pending appeal is to leave in place the previous transfer orders issued by the Delaware District Court. Because the Remand Order has been stayed, the Delaware District Court=s provisional transfer order is still the operative order, and all claims removed by Honeywell continue to be provisionally transferred automatically to Delaware.
- 21. Accordingly, pursuant to Judge Weiners Order dated April 12, 2002 (the AAbstention/Remand Order®) Aall pending motions for abstention and remand are denied without prejudice.

 . . [t]he cases are administratively dismissed, subject to reinstatement following the determination of the bankruptcy issyes by Judge Wolin and any subsequent appeals thereto.® See Exhibit AH.®

22. A copy of this Notice of Removal and related documents have been served by regular mail on counsel of record for all represented parties and upon all unrepresented parties to the action pending in state court.

Respectfully submitted,

RAWLE & HENDERSON LLP

By:

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Stewart R. Singer, Esquire John C. McMeekin II, Esquire

Attorneys for Defendant

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

Dated:

CERTIFICATION OF STATE COURT RECORDS, PLEADINGS AND PROCEEDINGS

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire; Stewart R. Singer, Esquire; John C. McMeekin, Esquire; of full age, certify that on this day, we attached to the Notice of Removal as Exhibit AI@, a copy of all of the appropriate records, pleadings and proceedings in the State Court as requested by this Court.

Respectfully submitted,

RAWLE & HENDERSON ILP

By:

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire Stewart R. Singer, Esquire John C. McMeekin II, Esquire

Attorneys for Defendant

Honeywell International, Inc., f/k/a Allied Signal as successor in interest to Bendix Corporation

Dated:

CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE

I, Peter J. Neeson, Esquire/Stewart R. Singer, Esquire/John C. McMeekin II, Esquire, of full age, hereby certify that on this day, I caused a true and correct copy of Defendant=s Notice to Remove Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1452 of the United States Code and Rule 9027(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure to be served on all counsel listed below as follows.

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Lawrence R. Cohan, Esquire Anapol Schwartz Weiss Cohan Feldman & Smalley 1900 Delancey Place Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Plaintiffs

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire Wilbraham, Lawler & Buba Suite 3100, 1818 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Pneumo Abex Corporation, Dana Corporation and Maremont Corporation

John F. Kent, Esquire Kent & McBride, P.C. Two Logan Square, Suite 600 18th and Arch Streets Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for A-Best Products

Robert W. Rowan, Esquire Gollatz, Griffin & Ewing, P.C. Two Penn Center, 16th Floor 15th and JFK Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19102 Attorney for ACandS, Inc.

Joseph M. O'Neill, Esquire Marks, O'Neill, Reilly, O'Brien & Courtney, P.C. 1880 JFK Blvd., Suite 1200 Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Airco Welders Supply

Fredric L. Goldfein, Esquire Goldfein & Hosmer 1600 Market Street 33rd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Asbestos Corporation, Ltd. and Garlock, Inc.

M. Douglas Eisler, Esquire
Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker LLP
The Curtis Center, Suite 1130 East
Independence Square West
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Attorney for A.W. Chesterton

Timothy B. Barnard, Esquire Barnard, Mezzanotte & Pinnie Williamson House 218 W. Front Street P.O. Box 289 Media, PA 19063-0289

Attorney for Borg Warner Corporation

Norman L. Haase, Esquire Swartz, Campbell & Detweiler 115 North Jackson Street Media, PA 19063

Attorney for Brand Insulations, Inc. and Cleaver Brooks Co.

Michael P. Creedon, Esquire Creedon & Feliciani 29 E. Marshall Street Norristown, PA 19401

Attorney for Burnham Boiler Corporation and Drever Furnaces

John J. Delany, III, Esquire
Delany & O'Brien
Constitution Place
325 Chestnut Street, Suite 1212
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Attorney for Combustion Engineering

Joseph R. Glancy, Esquire Stack & Stack 1600 Locust Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Crown, Cork & Seal

Sherry Horowitz, Esquire Ill Presidential Blvd., Suite 208 Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Attorney for Durabla Manufacturing Company

Cy Goldberg, Esquire Goldberg, Moore & Miller The North American Building Suite 1500, 121 S. Broad Street Philadelphia, PA 19107 Attorney for Eaton Corp.

> Mark Lipowicz, Esquire Duane Morris LLP 4200 One Liberty Place Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Ford Motor Company

Tracey M. McDevitt, Esquire Reilly, Janiczek & McDevitt, P.C. The Widener Building, Suite 520 One South Penn Square Philadelphia, PA 19107

Attorney for Foster Wheeler Corporation

Christine O. Boyd, Esquire Lavin, Coleman, O'Neil, Ricci, Finarelli & Gray Penn Mutual Tower 510 Walnut Street, 12th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19106

Attorney for General Motors Corporation and GTE Corporation

Daniel Segal, Esquire
Hangley, Aronchick, Segal & Pudlin
One Logan Square
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for General Refractories Company

Sharon L. Caffrey, Esquire

Duane Morris LLP One Liberty Place, Suite 4200 Philadelphia, PA 19103-7396 **Attorney for IMO Industries**

Bruce H. Bikin, Esquire Gail A. Weber, Esquire Montgomery, McCracken, Walker & Rhoads 123 South Broad Street Philadelphia, Pa 19109 Attorney for Magnetek, Inc.

> C. James Zeszutek, Esquire Thorp, Reed & Armstrong One Oxford Centre, 14th Floor 301 Grant Street Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1425 **Attorney for Pfizer, Inc.**

Mark MacDonald, Esquire
Jenkins Robinson Wolf & Rubinate
Public Ledger Building
Sixth and Chestnut Streets, Suite 500
150 South Independence Mall West
Philadelphia, PA 19106

Attorney for Palmetto Products

Eric J. Kadish, Esquire
McCarter & English
Mellon Bank Center
1735 Market Street, Suite 700
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Attorney for Owens Illinois, Inc.

Richard C. Polley, Esquire Dickie, McCamey & Chilcote Two PPG Place, Suite 400 Pittsburgh, PA 15222-5402

Attorney for Rapid American and Sager Corporation

R. Thomas McLaughlin, Esquire Kelly, McLaughlin & Foster 1617 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1690 Philadelphia, PA 19103 **Attorney for Riley Stoker**

Joseph P. Klein, Esquire McGivney Kluger & Gannon, PC 1429 Walnut Street, 11th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Attorney for Royal Electric

Bruce S. Haines, Esquire Hangley, Aronchick, Segal & Pudlin One Logan Square Philadelphia, PA 19103 Attorney for Uniroyal, Inc.

By:

Peter J. Neeson, Esquire

Dated: